Artists in technology sectors / technology in artists' spaces

ShinJoung Yeo Toby Miller

The context of our research

The last few decades have seen a convergence of artists' communities and technology clusters in former industrial districts of light manufacturing and warehousing across the global North. There has long been a tendency for seemingly desolate inner-city industrial sites where manufacturing and storage concerns have departed to be colonized by artists in search of cheap rents and large studio spaces, thereby reshaping urban landscapes. A similar trend is emergent in parts of the global South.

The more recent transformation is that these artists' enclaves are being encroached upon by high-technology sectors, in such cities as London, San Francisco, Berlin, New York, Barcelona, and Beijing. This convergence/clash of technology clusters and artists' communities has been much welcomed by policy makers around the globe as an urban development strategy. These local authorities seek to construct 'creativity cities' that feature

new technologies and cultural production at their very core, in a magic amalgam of hardware, software, education, and aesthetics.¹ They regard the intersection of artists' communities and technology sectors as marking the growth of a 'new' economy in response to the declining industrial one. This is seen as the very harbinger of rebirth: an alleviation of the crisis of industrial inner cities that promises prosperity for all by valuing 'creativity' and generating a large quantity of well-paid employment opportunities and wealth in hitherto-deprived urban neighbourhoods.

Despite the premise and its promise, the convergence of technology clusters and artists' communities has arguably offered little to artists struggling to survive and exercise artistic freedom and creativity; and as gentrification gathers speed, the urban poor and working class are increasingly displaced from these areas. 'Creative Cities' have not helped either of the populations at which they are (rather euphemistically) targeted. Instead, the expansion of technology sectors in old industrial districts has negatively transformed arts neighbourhoods that once provided alternative spaces for social, cultural, and artistic experimentation.

During the course of our research, we have come to question current urban policy's promotion of 'creativity' and the convergence and emerging dynamics between technology sectors and artists' communities. We need to think anew about cities in which both artists and the urban poor are supported, rather than displaced; artistic experimentation is encouraged and fostered; and technology is seen as an ordinary object of use rather than a desirable end in itself.

Because of the time available, the place where we live and work, and the scope of a pilot project, we have focused on two cases: Fast London's Silicon Roundabout and Here East. These sites represent very different development contexts, but both were stimulated by public policies associated with the 2012 London Olympics and the UK's 2010 East Technology City Initiative (usually called 'Technology City' by the media and policy makers). The initiative was designed to provide a springboard to reorganise Britain's economic base and accelerate its arrival at the peak of a new, globalized digital economy, in much the way that the City of London had become the world financial centre for savings and investment outside the US. This pivoting of the UK economy has been affirmed in the government's 2017 Green Paper Building Our Industrial Strategy, which includes the digital economy as one of ten economic pillars that can purportedly guarantee a robust, sturdy future in the wake of departure from the European Union.²

Silicon Roundabout, in Old Street, Shoreditch, is considered London's first official technology cluster. We chose this neighbourhood as one focus because artists had long occupied warehouses and other light-industrial buildings there. Here East, on the other hand, is a brand new, artificially-engineered technology cluster, built as part of urban development after the 2012 Olympics. Unlike Silicon Roundabout, Here East had no corporate identity. It had been part of Hackney Marsh, one of the largest areas of common land in London, which was used as a dump for buildings damaged by World War II air raids. Here East represented an attempt

to assimilate a longstanding artists' neighbourhood on nearby Fish Island and Hackney Wick into newly-developed, large-scale cultural development projects in Stratford, at the southern end of the Olympic Park. With the overarching premise of creative-cities discourse, the plan was to create a networked technology cluster from Shoreditch to Stratford.

The Olympics meet technology

The Olympic Games depend on massive public subvention: forty venues are required, covering five hundred hectares. This has huge implications for construction, maintenance, transport, post-Olympic utilization, gentrification, consumption, pollution, noise, light, water, and sewerage. Despite the local Olympic Authority boasting that the 2012 Games were the 'greenest ever,' the event produced three and a half million tons of carbon dioxide compared to 550 million tons of annual UK emissions. Throughout successive phases of planning and implementation, the International Olympic Committee (IOC), local organizers, and their sponsors sought social licenses to operate—ways of legitimizing their environmental impact.

Part of the strategy for obtaining this social license was a new and improved infrastructure for all and renewed life chances for the poor, in areas characterized as under-privileged and even dangerous. In the run-up to the Games, East London was consistently

portrayed as an area of crime, violence, poverty, and deprivation—the detritus of humanity among the detritus of a lost industrial economy. Such descriptions tended to omit the role of austerity and market-driven social and economic policies in producing and maintaining structural inequality in East London, not to mention the vitality of communities in weathering repeated social and financial storms.

Underneath this monolithic mainstream portrayal, East London has long boasted a diverse immigrant working class and culture. Its rich social and cultural history arches from women's suffrage and labour movements in the 19th century to flourishing local artists' communities today. Instead of foregrounding that history, East London was conveniently labelled a 'wasteland' in need of transformation and 'regeneration,' purportedly driven by a market economy, but in fact with massive state subsidies to capital.

The real impact of publicly-subsidized professional sports on economic growth and civic culture has never been established beyond reasonable doubt, but that lack of proof has rarely stood in the way of enthusiastically providing welfare to private interests. Governments rush to compete for the privilege of hosting these events, buoyed by boosterish arguments and ignoring cultural critiques and cost-benefit analyses, other than at the greenwashing margins. As a consequence, sports are now governed by administrators and venture capitalists keen on state subsidies. Enter the world of policy-based evidence masquerading as evidence-based public policy. 10

To host the Olympics, six East London boroughs - Barking and Dagenham, Greenwich, Hackney, Newham, Tower Hamlets, and Waltham Forest—were selected. Then-Mayor Ken Livingstone proclaimed that the Games would break East London's cycle of poverty. With public funding, the largest urban development project ever planned for Europe was projected to deliver tens of thousands of jobs in impoverished areas, and spur UK business globally. Due to its scale and projected impact, this Olympic-led urban development received a great deal of attention. Media coverage of the Olympic development overshadowed Technology City, but it, too, represented a major political-economic policy undergirding the restructuring of East London. The area had already experienced redevelopment projects, from the clearance of inner-city slums after World War II to the private-property led transformation of the Docklands in the 1980s. The 2012 Olympics and East Technology City were just the latest iteration of transformational projects targeting the area. 11

Soon after embarking on this Olympic-led redevelopment, then-Prime Minister David Cameron announced governmental plans for the East End to become a world-leading 'Technology City' to compete with Silicon Valley. ¹² In 2010, he proclaimed that 'Silicon Valley is the leading place in the world for high-technology growth and innovation,' but 'our ambition is to bring together the creativity and energy of Shoreditch and the incredible possibilities of the Olympic Park to help make East London one of the world's great technology centres.' ¹³ The Olympic Park media center would become an 'accelerator space'

after the Games, putting East London at the core of the nation's 'new economy.¹⁴

Technology City was born in the context of the global financial crisis, which exposed the UK's heavy dependence on the financial services and property sectors. In that context of crisis, the idea was to resuscitate and diversify an ailing economy through technology. Indeed, the internet is Britain's second-biggest economic sector, followed by the property market. The media, information, and communication industries generate 8% of Gross Domestic Product (GDP)—the size of auto manufacturing and oil and gas combined. The government called technology the 'one industry future in Britain.'

The UK government established the Technology City Investment Organization, funded by what is now the Department of International Trade (née Trade & Investment Department) to support Technology City and offer government grants and tax benefits of up to 78% for private investors who backed risky startups. ¹⁸ The government also began rewriting its intellectual property and copyright laws to benefit the internet sector and harmonize with US norms.

So East London, once the heartland of the UK industrial economy, underwent radical changes in accord with the country's shift toward a digital economy, intertwined with the Olympic-led mega regeneration. These two factors are not mutually exclusive; rather, they reinforce one another in reshaping the area. The arts, artists, and their neighbourhoods are being strategically deployed as instruments for economic growth.



The future is culture





Shoreditch, artists' enclave19

Shoreditch was once London's primary lightindustrial district, a network of warehouses and suppliers specializing in furniture, clothing, and printing.20 However, like many other cities in the Global North, by the 1970s, the city's industrial base declined as a New International Division of Labor drew jobs and investment to the Global South.²¹ Formerly industrialized, comparatively wealthy nations embarked on structural economic adjustment, claiming that they would retrain blue-collar workers away from mining and manufacturing and towards services and culture. There were supposed to be four, largely painless, changes in the Global North's shift away from production: the preeminence of professionalism and technique, the importance of theory to innovate and generate public policies, the formation of a discourse of the future, and new cultural technologies.²²

Shoreditch and its surrounding neighborhoods tell a different story. The legacy of manufacturing's departure was a largely disused built infrastructure. ²³ As a consequence, the neighborhoods began to attract artists from the late 1970s, who moved into cheap and obsolete former factories and warehouses. Spacious and open floors and high ceilings were rapidly converted into live-and-work spaces. ²⁴ Until the 1990s, Shoreditch remained a relatively cheap place to live and work, while being close to the city center. ²⁵ Property owners were willing to lease 'under-valued' properties cheaply, rather than leave them fallow. Low rents and strong social networks benefited many artists, allowing

them to survive on irregularly-waged jobs and periodic sales of art.²⁶

Similar stories could be told of New York's SoHo, Wicker Park in Chicago, San Francisco's Mission, and the Art Walk and Gallery Row in Los Angeles. In surveying such developments in Manhattan, Stanley Aronowitz suggests that: 'the everyday life of classic bohemians was a monumental struggle to beat the 'system' by winning and reconfiguring urban space in ways that were simply unavailable to those caught in the job machine. Bohemians attempted to enter the realm of freedom — life minus (much) wage labor — without the material means.'²⁷

This tendency has a history. From jazz musicians to street artists, cultural workers have long labored without regular compensation and security. The history of live performance and organic artwork in this informal cultural sector, as opposed to grand theatrical and dance companies or bourgeois galleries and museums, showed to state and capital alike that all workers could supposedly move from security to insecurity, certainty to uncertainty, salary to wage, firm to project, and profession to precarity — with smiles on their faces.²⁸ In the case of London, such tendencies were articulated to the austerity strategies of the Thatcherite governments that have dominated UK public policy since 1979.²⁹ The socially-engineered precarious artistic life was presented as if it were a lifestyle choice — a symbol of freedom that could be incorporated into urbandevelopment policy.

Hence the dramatic growth of co-working spaces, based on artists' studios, because the technology sector

relies on self-employed entrepreneurs, freelancers, and contract workers. Britain is one of the top five countries in terms of information-technology contractors, outpacing the US. There has been a 10% rise over the last year. 30 This kind of work is celebrated as ideal for 'self-motivated' 'risk takers,' who are supposedly in control of their working conditions. 'Flexible' hours make it seem as though they are working outside the capitalist wage-relation, living a bohemian, artistic lifestyle. In reality, today's working conditions promoted by the technology sector exemplify managerial practices³¹ designed to reduce costs and increase productivity and profit, while transferring economic risk to workers. Luc Boltanski and his collaborators describe this as the 'new spirit' of capitalism. It celebrates flexibility. non-hierarchy, creativity and autonomy as mechanisms of control 32

An extensive literature has shown that the culture and aesthetic of artists' neighborhoods are appropriated, commodified, packaged, and sold to attract elite classes and property developers, displacing existing artists and 'undesirable' working-class communities by propping up depressed inner-city property markets.³³ This is what George Yúdice has famously called 'culture as resource,' or 'the expediency of culture.'³⁴ Shoreditch's cultural scene and artist lifestyle are being branded and deployed in just this way to transform the area into a destination for cultural consumption and investment.

Ruth Glass coined the word 'gentrification' in 1964 to describe this urban change: a process of inner-city transformation through new investment

in low-valued property, leading to changing urban demographics through the displacement of working-class residents by the middle class.³⁵ In the fifty years since, gentrification has become multifaceted and heterogeneous, encompassing new social relations, urban aesthetics, and residential and non-residential places of work and leisure.³⁶

Sometimes the displacement and inequality embedded in such urban development are camouflaged by art and culture. Concomitantly, the language of gentrification in urban policy has been euphemized by such terms as 'urban renaissance,' 'regeneration,' and 'renewal.' Rather than displacing tradition and survival these words appear to promise revival.

Under the auspicious umbrella of urban 'revival,' the gentrification of inner-city artists' communities is often viewed as inevitable, as if the presence of artists led naturally to property booms. This process is far from a given, however; rather, corporate state and capital interventions play a vital role in reorganizing urban spaces, 'revitalizing' decayed industrial neighborhoods by reopening them to capital.

In the 1990s, the Tony Blair-led New Labour government implemented the notion of 'urban renaissance,'38 which placed the arts, culture, and entertainment at the core of urban regeneration. The goal was to bring the middle class and market forces into the 'social mix' of inner-city, low-income areas.'39 New Labour's urban policy promoted art and culture as a means of renewing the decayed and decaying parts of the country as well as enlarging the various cultural industries through tourism, museums, galleries, heritage

sites, and cultural festivals, thereby appealing to middle-class and urban professionals.

This new wave of urban policy idealized brownfield land and unused industrial buildings as platforms on which to create new urban living by encouraging mixed-use development suitable for working, living, and socializing. 40 Because parts of East London where artists were already living and working, like Shoreditch, Hoxton, and Whitechapel, were already converting factories and warehouse buildings into art studios and live/work spaces,41 they provided policy makers with a model of 'urban renaissance.'42 Art and artists did not in themselves generate new investment, but they made the built-in industrial infrastructure more desirable to capital by promoting and funding art and culture, rebranding urban industrial decay as aesthetic, and elevating the lifestyle of artists and their embellishment of lapsed industrial sites a means of attracting niche professional classes and private business.

The run-down, gritty brownfield neighborhoods where artists live and work have hence come to be branded as 'edgy' and 'authentic'; in reality, they are part of a commodified urban landscape that can be bought and sold. As David Harvey points out, 'while uniqueness and particularity are crucial to the definition of special qualities, the requirement of tradability means that no item can be so unique or so special as to be entirely outside of the monetary calculus.'⁴³ In 2002, the *New York Times* travel section described Shoreditch as a 'great place to go bar-crawling on a Saturday night, or to take in live music at clubs like Cargo, but it seems to have lost some of its original East End vibe.'⁴⁴

Against this backdrop, East London Technology City was located by the Old Street Roundabout to hasten the reorganization of the urban landscape in the interest of digital technology by incorporating existing cultural industries and artists' communities and appropriating art and culture for market relations. This seemed to promise an endless expansion of opportunity for creative self-expression and employment. The comparatively cheap and easy access to the making and circulation of meaning afforded by the encounter of new technology with art was thought to have eroded a one-way hold on culture that saw a small segment of the world as producers and the larger segment as consumers, and to make for a cleaner economy. New technologies would supposedly allow us all to become simultaneously cultural consumers and producers ('prosumers') — no more factory conditions, no more factory emissions.45

Further gentrifying creativity / Silicon Roundabout

To inaugurate East London Technology City, the UK government renamed the area around the Old Street Roundabout, at the boundary of the London Borough of Hackney and Islington, as *Silicon Roundabout*. It was no accident that the state anchored Technology City there. One obvious reason was its proximity to the City of London, Europe's financial center, because access to capital is vital

for technology start-ups. The other was that policy makers enlisted the Old Street Roundabout because of its growing international reputation as a new art-and-culture scene in order to entice multinational firms and elite skilled labor.

The term 'Silicon Roundabout' was coined by Dopplr's Chief Technology Officer Matt Biddulph, who called it 'the ever-growing community of fun startups in London's Old Street area.'46 While this gave the impression that there was a well-established technology cluster, that was far from true. When Biddulph noticed a number of technology and design companies near to the Old Street Roundabout, he jokingly said that 'If this goes on, some awful estate agent will start calling us Silicon Roundabout. It was considered an inside joke among technology people: 'Ha ha, it's 'Silicon Roundabout.'47 But it was quickly picked up by Tim Bradshaw from the Financial Times, and echoed by Wired magazine. 48 With such sudden media attention. 'Silicon Roundabout' was soon branded as such by the property industry, which fully exploited the idea of a 'technology cluster' to promote the 'new' economy centered in East London. 49

When the UK government placed Silicon Roundabout as its Technology City flagship, there wasn't a fully-developed 'technology cluster.' Film and television were heavily concentrated in central and West London; East London was characterized by the arts, fashion, graphic design, advertising, public relations, printing, publishing, software development, and the like. Rather than a 'technology cluster,' there was a mixture of new and residual cultural industries, with digital work often contracted out by traditional media industries in the

center of London as a way to test unknown technologies,⁵² and bohemian artists willing to work at the margin as contingent labor in return for aesthetic freedom. Policy makers sought to consolidate these sectors under one 'creative' umbrella and translate human creativity into economic value.⁵³

In pursuing the construction of technology clusters, UK policy makers adopted Richard Florida's controversial notion of the 'creative city.' 54 which has been taken up and popularized around the world. This concept is based on the assumption that 'creativity' can become a vital competitive advantage, and that a 'creative class®'—comprising young professionals like engineers, architects, designers, artists, and so on — is the engine of economic growth and urban revitalization. Florida argues that a 'creative class' can revitalize post-industrial sites devastated by the relocation of manufacturing to places with cheaper labor pools. The revival of such cities is supposedly driven by a magic elixir of tolerance, technology, and talent, as measured by same-sex households, broadband connections, and higher degrees respectively. Florida has even trademarked the concept: his claim to own the 'creative class ®' is asserted with the US Patent and Trademark Office under registration number 3298801.

According to this logic, cities should provide diverse cultural and social amenities and conditions to cater to these influential groups of young elite professionals, who are supposed to fuel the new economy through high-technology sectors. In Florida's urban context, art and culture are commercialized and commodified in order to be consumed by the 'creative

class.' In his 2010 East End Tech City speech, Cameron saluted this model of the 'creative city,' invoking San Francisco as an exemplar and stressing the importance of a 'vibrant cultural scene' for Technology City. 55

Although this discourse celebrated newness, it was actually rather venerable. In 1848, Ralph Waldo Emerson wrote that 'a creative economy is the fuel of magnificence.' ⁵⁶ And Ronald Reagan's speech that launched his successful 1966 campaign for the governorship of California began:

I propose... 'A Creative Society'... to discover, enlist and mobilize the incredibly rich human resources of California [through] innumerable people of creative talent.⁵⁷

Reagan's rhetoric publicly birthed today's idea of technology unlocking the creativity that is allegedly lurking, unbidden, in individuals, thereby permitting them to become happy, productive — and without full-time employment.

But the fantasy has been found wanting numerous times. There is minimal proof that a creative class exists, or that 'creative cities' outperform their drab brethren economically. Companies seek skills when deciding where to locate their businesses — but skills also seek work. City centers largely attract the young who are not yet breeding. The centrality of gay culture in the Floridian calculus derives from assuming same-sex households are queer (but university dorms and sorority/fraternity houses are not quite there). Even if this were accurate, many 'successful' US cities are culturally reactionary in terms of free expression,

sexuality, race, and feminism (consider Orlando and Phoenix). The idea of urbanism incipient in US demographic statistics includes the suburbs (which now hold more residents than do cities) so that, too, is suspect in terms of the importance of downtown lofts to economies. There is no evidence of an overlap of tastes, values, living arrangements, or locations between artists and accountants, despite their being bundled together in the creative concept; nor is it sensible to assume other countries could replicate the massive internal mobility of the US population. Finally, other surveys pour scorn on the claim that quality of life, rather than low cost, good communications technology, proximity to markets, and adequate transport, is central to selecting business campuses. A European Commission evaluation of twenty-nine Cities of Culture disclosed that their principal goal — economic growth stimulated by the public subvention of culture to renew failed cities — has itself failed. Glasgow, for instance, was initially hailed as a success of the program; but many years after the rhetoric, it has seen no sustained growth.58

Despite the government's rhetoric of supporting and nurturing local startups and British business, global corporate giants such as Google, Facebook, Twitter, Amazon, Intel, and Barclays, *inter alia*, dominate East London Technology City, courtesy of generous tax breaks. In 2007, only 8% of London office space was occupied by the technology sector. By 2013, this number was more than 33% — more than finance.⁵⁹ In 2015, there were 3,228 technology firms per kilometre in the EC1V postal code centered around the Old Street roundabout, compared to just 58 technology firms

per kilometre across the remainder of London. 60 Silicon Roundabout is bursting at its seams, so Facebook is expanding and building its new offices with fifteen hundred employees in nearby Fitzrovia. 61 Google's campus opened on Old Street in 2012, offering co-working space for start-ups and cafés and high-speed internet access. In this mutually parasitic arrangement, Google can quickly acquire those companies that become economically viable. It recently announced plans to expand its ten-story headquarters in Kings Cross, which houses seven thousand staff. 62 Amazon is developing new headquarters just north of Liverpool Street Station, and will also move to Shoreditch.

Artists and technologists: Two faces of a new kind of worker

The expansion of the technology industry was supposed to be good news for artists struggling to survive, since the technology sector places 'creativity' at the center of its ethos. But despite 'creativity' being the prevailing rhetoric of Technology City, the majority of artists continue to flounder. They have limited and largely unsustainable financial support. The reorganisation of urban policy to serve technology sectors has further threatened their freedom and livelihoods. In reality, 'creativity' is a resource for profit-making and economic growth; but it does little to nurture artistic freedom or sustain artistic communities.

Shoreditch and its surrounding neighborhoods, the heartland of Technology City, embody the gentrification that has pushed existing artists and art spaces out, to the point where the very term 'gentrification' has become interchangeable with the syntagram 'Shoreditch Effect.'63 Once the home of many artists and successive waves of Huguenot, Irish, Ashkenazi, and Bangla immigrant communities, Shoreditch is being occupied by corporate offices, luxury residences, coffee shops, bars, private galleries, and restaurants to serve the influx of elite young technology professionals.64

In the current iteration of Technology City, public policy privileges entrepreneurship and digital businesses. As living costs rise across East London, artists are being priced out and evicted from their homes and studios. There is no provision or protection for artists' workspaces or affordable housing for the working class, much less a living wage. Thirty per cent of artists are slated to lose their places of work in the next five years —amounting to the loss of 3,500 artists' work spaces.⁶⁵

Meanwhile, the professionalization of education in art practices has meant that 35,000 students graduate each year from art and design colleges in London, which increases the pressure on space and work. 66 This because the spread of creative-industries discourse across public policy has seen tertiary education impose stress tests on itself that require it to appear vocational in everything it does, from art history to drawing to archeology to theoretical physics, as the relative autonomy of research and teaching from state and capital is progressively compromised.

Did you receive a fee for your last exhibition in a publicly-funded space?



70.90% Didn't receive a fee

responses : 261

source: DHA & a-n The Artist Information Company

(2013) Paying Artists research Phase I

Initially, of course, artists were categorized under Florida's trademark class and hence foregrounded by policy makers; but so far, they have not benefited from either the expansion of the 'new' economy or focused development projects in their name. A third of artists in the UK earn only £10,000 a year, which amounts to 66% of a living wage. 67 They constitute a growing 'flexible' labor force that lacks job security, company pensions, and other benefits. Artists' precarious working conditions 68 and livelihoods have been compounded by cuts to government funding as part of the reallocation of money upwards that is popularly euphemized as austerity. Between 2010 and 2015, Arts Council England's funding was cut by 32%, and that situation was greatly exacerbated by local-council cuts of 40%.69 According to the Paying Artists campaign, in 2014, 71% of artists were not even paid for exhibitions at publiclyfunded galleries.70

One in seven of the 'self-employed' workforce earns 40% less than their full-time counterparts.⁷¹ Between 2008 and 2015, the number of freelancers in the UK increased by 36% to just under two million.⁷² Almost 80% of self-employed people struggle at or below the poverty line.⁷³ As we noted above, artists are prototypical workers in a digital economy that relies on a 'flexible' workforce.⁷⁴ They embody 'the cognitariat,' a term embraced by everyone from the lapsed leftist Reaganite Alvin Toffler to the Marxist radical Antonio Negri.⁷⁵ If there is a commonality between artists and technology workers, it is that they are both part of an increasingly precarious casualised workforce laboring under the guise of 'creativity.'

As we said in our introductory remarks, this phenomenon is far from unique to London. In San Francisco, an exemplar of the successful technology city that Silicon Roundabout seeks to emulate, artists are being displaced on a massive scale. In a survey conducted by the San Francisco Arts Commission, over 70% of six hundred artist-respondents said they had been displaced from their workplace, home, or both. The remainder were concerned about potential displacement in the near future. 76 Using the same tactic as British local authorities, San Francisco offered a tax break to technology companies for moving to the economically-deprived areas of Mid-Market and the Tenderloin. The working class, small businesses, and artists were priced out of these areas, and San Francisco became one of the most unequal cities in the world; yet it is listed at the top of the 'Creativity Index.'77

San Francisco's widening income disparity

Percentile	Household income 2012	Change 2007–2012*	Percentage change
20th	\$21,313	-\$4,309	-17%**
40th	\$52,865	-\$3,743	-7%**
50th	\$73,012	-\$2,311	-3%
60th	\$95,783	-\$1,018	-1%
80th	\$160,753	-\$795	0%
95th	\$353,576	+\$27,815	+9%

^{*}Adjusted for inflation **Statistically significant Source: Brookings Institution

The creativity pursued by many artists is potentially opposed to capitalism, because it functions beyond markets;⁷⁸ but the politics of 'creativity' absorbs art into market relations. Aesthetic expression must justify itself in terms of productivity and economic outcomes. As Andrew Ross points out, 'artists have been pressured to contribute to capitalist economic development, and increasingly to justify state-funded arts activities for a return on investment and economic values.'⁷⁹

Under Britain's Technology City policy, creativity is measured and quantified. 80 And as public policy redefines artists as entrepreneurs, there is little space for creativity that cannot be sold. By gentrifying creativity, artists and the working class are being forced from a city that was built on their endeavor. One artist pays tribute to a lost present that is rapidly becoming the past in these words:

A Shoreditch stampede flooded in from the city at the weekend, stopped out, and has now gone home. The artists' warehouse studios are now a flicker of PC screensavers between partitioned walls. It's the future; it's survival of the fittest. It is history in the making, and it's taking over — too quick, too soon. It is out of control, and I couldn't hold on. Hackney, I love you. I lost you⁸¹





Here Ea making,

Restaura

This way



Here East: Reengineering the Olympic Park and surrounding areas

One of the central plans for London's Technology City is to link technology clusters from Shoreditch to the Olympic Park and Stratford, in order to attract foreign capital investment. Here East is on the site of the former Press and Broadcast Centre for the London Olympic and Paralympic Games. It is a joint venture between real-estate investors Delancey and datacenter operator Infinity SDC and is under the jurisdiction of The London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC), the planning authority within the Mayor's Office.82 LLDC is responsible for the development of Olympic Park and has extended land-use planning jurisdiction in the surrounding London boroughs of Hackney, Newham, Tower Hamlets, and Waltham Forest.83 It was granted a 200-year lease to build the taxpayer-funded Here East site.84

Previously called ICITY, Here East opened in 2015 as part of a £1bn initiative to boost Technology City. It claims to be the largest technology hub/'digital quarter' in Europe. London's then-Mayor, Boris Johnson, declared that

ICITY is the final jewel in the crown of Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park and as a world class digital hub that will employ thousands of people I'm delighted to welcome them on board. Located on the western edge of Olympic Park, Here East is one of eight former Olympic venues incorporated into East London redevelopment.

Chief Executive Officer Gavin Poole states that

This is really a regeneration exercise in East London, we're just doing it through technology and digital industries because of the facilities and connectivity we have here'. 85

Here East has followed Silicon Valley's technology-cluster model in attempting to create an academic-industrial complex, where researchers and industries serve corporate interests. Its tenants currently include Loughborough University London, BT Sport, and Infinity SDC. Hackney Community College's Technology City apprentices, University College London's robotics lab, Wayne McGregor's Random Dance — one of Britain's leading contemporary ballet companies — and the long-time Hackney Wick artist organization and affordable artist studio provider SPACE are all planning to make Here East their home. Alongside these organizations, Delancey and technology consultants Entiq boast the Plexal 'Innovation center,' with up to 350 co-working spaces. Prices start at £200/month per desk.86 Plexal says this is Europe's largest Technology Innovation Centre. On the River Lea Navigation canal side, overlooking Hackney Wick, there are now several cafés, bars, and restaurants.

Unlike Silicon Roundabout, which was tactically embedded in a cultural scene to exploit an existing artistic neighborhood, Here East is a brand new, artificially-designed technology cluster built as part of the Olympics-led regeneration project that includes art in its plans. With little prior profile other than a giant pile of discarded refrigerators and freezers, 87 Here East has

created a technology city image, manufacturing an identify. dn&co and architects Hawkins\Brown were hired to paint the place as a 'home of making.'88 They deployed 'maker culture' as a strategy that drew on various façades — grassroots, counter-culture and anticapitalist — while promoting capitalist entrepreneurship.

Hackney Wick, a compromised haven for artists

As we have seen. Here East's 'maker culture' brand didn't emerge from a vacuum.89 It enlisted and encroached upon the nearby artists' neighborhood of Hackney Wick and Fish Island, located on the western edge of the Park, in order to produce Here East's 'unique' qualities. Hackney Wick and Fish Island have long been hidden backwaters neighboring the boroughs of Hackney and Tower Hamlets. Following a path similar to Shoreditch's development, relatively affordable industrial infrastructure attracted artists. Approximately seven thousand live and work in East London, and Fish Island and Hackney Wick to the north once housed 750 artists' studios.90 The surrounding warehouses and rundown brownfield buildings offered temporary canvas for street artists, covered with layers of graffiti. Now, the Hackney Wick and Fish Island artists' communities are undergoing radical changes. The area is sandwiched in between, and integrated into, Here East technology cluster on one side, and on the other, the culture-led post-Olympic regeneration project of Stratford Waterfront.

LLDC promotes Hackney Wick and Fish Island as 'authentic' spaces with special 'local cultural characteristics' that offer 'unique selling points.' The area is being considered as a new 'strategic place' to develop by highlighting the 'local creative character of the area' with 'historical heritage assets.' ⁹¹ Some parts are now designated for preservation and enhancement as the Fish Island & White Post Lane Conservation Area. ⁹² However, this 'strategic place' is increasingly being appropriated and privatized by corporate and market forces as capital flows into the area. Property developers call Fish Island the 'new Shoreditch' and a 'goldmine.' ⁹³

As noted earlier, LLDC controls a significant amount of Hackney Wick. Its planning power allows it to buy land through Compulsory Purchase Orders (CPOs) (akin to the doctrine of eminent domain).94 CPOs permit government bodies to obtain property without owners' consent, if the proposed development is deemed to be for 'public betterment.'95 LLDC has granted permission to several private developers to develop mix-used areas. Peabody is building 'Fish Island Village' with 408 residential units and commercial spaces in Hackney Wick. 96 At least eight developers are currently looking to exploit the area. 97 As their world turns into a £1bn urban economic development project, local artists and the working class are pinning their hopes on section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act98 (AKA 'planning gain'), which requires developers to contribute towards affordable housing and community facilities in exchange for permission to develop. But developers often bypass section 106 by claiming that affordable housing requirements would render projects unprofitable.99

Despite LLDC's repeated promises of affordable housing, studio space, and conservation of historical buildings, artists in Hackney Wick and Fish Island are threatened by rising property values. Mother Studios, one of the first to open in the area in 2001, was forced to close in 2015. After a battle with LLDC, a former warehouse and work/live space at Vittoria Wharf, which was used for recording, screen printing, and hosting the popular Tunnel Vision radio program, *inter alia*, is scheduled for demolition to make way for a pedestrian bridge. Vittoria Wharf was supposed to be protected within the Fish Island & White Post Lane Conservation Area, 100 but LLDC acquired part of it through a CPO to build a bridge connecting Fish Island, Hackney Wick, and Olympic Park. Hence Robin Grey's 'Hackney Gentrification Song.'102

While state and private developers preserve 'local characteristics' and even support the establishment of arts and cultural communities — such as an art space in White Building and the Yard community theater — in order to declare an area 'authentic' and appeal to the new influx of young middle-class professionals, visitors, and investment, they consider these same arts and cultural communities to be useful but disposable interim stages in attracting more profitable developments. A local artist we interviewed was concerned about 'artists being put on display and instrumentalised.'

Changes in street art across Hackney Wick and Fish Island signify how the arts are being reconfigured as instruments of corporate-driven urban development. Prior to the Olympics, graffiti was scrubbed away and painted over by internationally-renowned street artists, funded by Bloomberg and facilitated by

the Canal & River Trust. In the process, street art, which is usually located outside established art practice, was taken out of context of the place and summarily institutionalized. Sweet Toof, a long-time Hackney Wick street artist, put it this way:

They were constantly evolving and available for anyone to paint on. Now they have commissioned these murals and covered them in coats of anti-graffiti paint, so we all have to look at them for the next 10 years. It completely goes against the spirit of street art... There is a general respect between artists — people paint around each other and are careful about what they paint over. But with the commercialisation of street art, it's becoming pay-as-you-go wall — every surface sold off to the highest bidder 106

Street art & large institutions in East London's Heritage and Cultural Quarter

A local artist we interviewed lamented that 'an 'artist in the space' is just a graffiti panel, an aesthetic gloss put onto a place to lend some credence or legitimacy.' Now street art has become a must-see tourist stop from Shoreditch to Fish Island, with Google maps of street artists and private tours of the most popular graffiti areas. Property developers invite established street artists to paint temporary fences to display community partnership and maintain the area's 'unique

aesthetic.'107 As Loretta Lees and her collaborators tersely point out,

'[t]he outcome of this economic valorization of the gentrification aesthetic is an increase in property prices which leads, ironically, to displacement of artists, those very people whose aesthetic dispositions helped to initiate the influx of middle-class professionals.'¹⁰⁸

Here East is trying to leverage art and culture to construct a 'technology cluster' and business destination. On the one hand, it is impinging on neighboring artists' communities. On the other, it is extending its geographic territory, linking with well-established cultural institutions via the ongoing 'cultural and educational quarter' project, a large part of the Stratford Waterfront and an extension of the broader post-Olympic development surrounding the park.¹⁰⁹

The Stratford Waterfront scheme, led by LLDC, is part of Johnson's 'Olympicopolis' plan to create a new cultural hub. In this massive planned development, the Victoria and Albert Museum (V&A), Sadler's Wells, the University of the Arts London, and University College London will occupy the area, promote revitalization, and market urban economic development strategies. This new £1.3bn cultural quarter is supposed to create 3,000 jobs and attract 1.5 million visitors a year, with a £2.8bn boost to the economy of Stratford and surrounding areas. Mayor Sadiq Khan has announced that he will continue the development, but he is thankfully dropping his predecessor's 'Olympicopolis' title in favor of East London's Heritage and Cultural Quarter. 111

The Heritage and Cultural Quarter (HCQ) is a classic model of large-scale, top-down arts-and-culture-led urban development. It seeks to assemble museums, public arts, theaters, educational institutions, and large and small businesses as an economic growth strategy by branding a place, boosting international competitiveness, and attracting private-sector investment and cultural consumption. Such high-profile regeneration has been much criticized, because there is little evidence that local artists and communities actually benefit from such projects; 112 rather, they set the conditions for accelerated property development, gentrification, displacement, and inequality.

Newham, where HCQ resides, is already showing that this is culture-led urban development as usual. Since 2012, it has seen the highest rise in house prices of all London boroughs¹¹³—in 2016 alone, more than 20%. 114 Targets for affordable housing have been cut from between 35% and 40% in 2010 to 31% in 2013.115 Only 8% of the recently built five towers in Stratford are 'affordable.' all of which are 'intermediate' units. They are priced just below market value — well beyond the reach of the average Newham resident's annual income of £29,000.116 Newham Council is displacing low-income families as far away as Manchester due to lack of affordable housing and cuts in housing benefits. 117 In the name of inner-city renewal, billions of pounds are poured into the Olympic borough in order to attract new art and cultural and education amenities: however, this does not help artists, who experience 'constant precariousness around studio leases', 118 while the working class is barely surviving.

The V&A seems to admit its complicity in forcing artists out from the area, but emphasizes the 'enormous benefits' to nearby communities. 119 But benefit for whom? The case of the V&A brings the hortatory, community-focused rhetoric of local authorities and developers into question. The Museum is one of the UK's flagship cultural institutions, and slated to be a large employer in HQC. The growing trend at the V&A — and museums as a whole 120 — is to rely for labor on precarious 'zero-hour' contract workers or retirees and volunteers. 121 The museum is also trying to cut costs by using V&A Enterprises Ltd, a commercial arm that boasts private-sector status, and hiring new museum staff, from gallery assistants to curators, as contract workers. 122 An overwhelming amount of the jobs created by V&A and other cultural institutions in the HQC will be in low-wage services and will not pay employees enough for them to live locally. This trend is likely to continue under the leadership of its newlyappointed director, Tristram Hunt.

HCQ might have opened up opportunities for local artists' communities, which could have influenced new urban space in an organic, sustainable way. Instead, culturally-branded development is using 'communitarian credibility' to further private profit and 'economic competitiveness' at the expense of the local community.¹²³

In short, a triumvirate of art, culture, and technology provides packaged ingredients to the prevailing model governing East London's redevelopment. Here East is agglomerating these ingredients to construct a new urban space of euphemistic 'innovation,' sanitizing

urban social and political conflicts and expunging artists and the working class.

Conclusion

The cases of Silicon Roundabout and Here East elucidate an inherent contradiction between the politics of creativity subscribed to by policy makers and the technology sector versus artistic freedom and working-class sustainability. It masks this inherent incompatibility by absorbing artistic expression into market relations, justifying and accelerating state-and capital-led accumulation through urban dispossession. As art, culture, and technology are often seen as inherently public goods, they make the perfect instrument for developers and local authorities alike to transform urban space into private interest, thereby diminishing people's access to the very city itself.

In response to such tendencies, urban struggles are intensifying across the world. London, Hamburg, San Francisco, New York City, Barcelona, São Paulo, and Istanbul host organic networks of urban coalitions, from artists to single mothers to tenant unions to immigrant communities. They deploy multiple tactics—squatting, direct challenges to local and federal policy makers, non-violent protest, public mobilization, and so on—and oppose the branding of cities and large-scale private developments, demanding the right to the city for all. England's new artists' union signifies a recognition that strength lies in organization. 124

To imagine and help build democratic and just cities, more research needs to be done, interviewing and surveying artists and other residents to identity and quantify their experiences, struggles, and resistance, and compare East London with other utopias of policy/dystopias of practice, such as Bilbao, Berlin, San Francisco, New York City, Los Angeles, Berlin, and Mexico City. We hope that this brief pilot study of the literature on the place where we live and work will shed some light on the directions that such investigations might take.

dont I don't able

know think we'll afford it...

Notes

Marc Martí-Costa & Marc Pradel I Miquel, 'The Knowledge City Against Urban Creativity? Artists' Workshops and Urban Regeneration in Barcelona,' European Urban and Regional Studies 19, no. 1 (2012): 92-108

Charlotta Mellander, Richard Florida, Bjørn Terje Asheim, and Meric Gertler, *The Creative Class Goes Global* (London: Routledge, 2014)

- 2 Her Majesty's Government, 'Building Our Industrial Strategy,' January 2017 goo.gl/L7LxGm
- Adrian Pitts and Hanwen Liao, 'An Assessment Technique for the Evaluation and Promotion of Sustainable Olympic Design and Urban Development,' *Building Research & Information* 41, no. 6 (2013): 722-34
- John Rennie Short, 'The Green and the Gold:
 Can We Soften the Environmental Impact of the
 Olympics?' The Conversation, August 3, 2015
 goo.gl/FevRjI
- Tricia Holly Davis, 'A Mixed Record So Far on Environmental Issues,' Financial Times, July 29, 2009 goo.gl/FQcpMf

- 6 Shalini Samuel and Wendy Stubbs, 'Green Olympics, Green Legacies? An Exploration of the Environmental Legacies of the Olympic Games,'

 International Review for the Sociology of Sport,

 48, no. 4 (2013): 485-504
- BBC Radio London spoke to a real-estate agent and a resident about this stereotype and its subsequent transformation goo.gl/9GpTgX
- David Rosenberg, Rebel Footprints: A Guide to Uncovering London's Radical History (London: Pluto Press, 2015)
- 9 Chitra Ramaswamy, 'Hosting the Olympics: The Competition Noone Wants to Win,' Guardian, November 30, 2015 goo.gl/I4513S

Samuel Nunn and Mark S. Rosentraub, 'Sports Wars: Suburbs and Center Cities in a Zero-Sum Game,' in *Critical Cultural Policy Studies: A Reader,* ed. Justin Lewis and Toby Miller (Malden: Blackwell, 2003), 211-24

Chris Rojek, Event Power: How Global Events Manage and Manipulate (London: Sage, 2013)

Jules Boykoff, Power Games: A Political History of the Olympics (London: Verso, 2016)

David Goldblatt, *The Games: A Global History of the Olympics* (New York: WW Norton, 2016)

- Michael G. Marmot, 'Evidence Based Policy or Policy Based Evidence,' *British Medical Journal* 328, no. 7445 (2004): 906-907
- Gavin Poynter and Valerie Viehoff, Mega-Event Cities: Urban Legacies of Global Sports Events (Farnham: Ashgate, 2015), 64
- 'PM Announces East London Tech City'
 goo.gl/t7goIX
- Prime Minister David Cameron, 'East End Tech City speech,' November 4, 2010 goo.gl/78ogNe
- James Silver, 'Tech City: Two Years In, How Is East London's Technology Hub Faring?' Guardian, October 28, 2012 goo.gl/01Bn3s

James Silver, 'Silicon comes to Stratford: Developing London's "Tech City", *Wired*, January 7, 2011 goo.gl/yEjr7d

15 Charlotte Holloway, 'UK's Digital Economy is World Leading in Terms of Proportion of GDP,' Tech, May 1, 2015
goo.gl/FWTRCx

- Douglas McWilliams, 'Love or Hate Them, East London's Hipsters Have Fueled a Vast Economy,' New Statesman, May 15, 2015 goo.gl/KdvFjs
- 17 Target Accounting, 'Shoreditch, London's Silicon Valley Booming' goo.gl/g9eTmk
- 18 '78% Tax Relief Lures Start-Up Investors,'
 Financial Times, July 5, 2012
 goo.gl/Vk1S1p
- See Charles Nicolas Green, 'From Factories to Fine Art: The Origins and Evolution of East London's Artists' Agglomeration, 1968-1998' (PhD dissertation, University of London, 2001)
- Thomas A. Hutton, The New Economy of the Inner City: Restructuring, Regeneration, and Dislocation in the Twenty-First Century Metropolis (Abingdon: Routledge, 2008), 109
- Folker Fröbel, Jürgen Heinrichs, and Otto Kreye,
 The New International Division of Labour: Structural
 Unemployment in Industrialised Countries and
 Industrialisation in Developing Countries, trans.
 Pete Burgess (Cambridge: Cambridge University
 Press; Paris: Éditions de la Maison des Sciences
 de l'Homme, 1980)

22	Armand Mattelart, The information Society:
	An Introduction, trans. Susan G. Taponier and
	James A. Cohen (London: Sage Publications, 2003)

- 'Culture Killer? Property Development vs. Art,' Peach Properties, August 20, 2015 goo.gl/mdioHV
- Green, From Factories to Fine Art, 9
- Andrew Wilson, 'Wanted: Studio Space,'

 Art Monthly, 246 (2001)

 goo.gl/TizVeS
- Stanley Aronowitz, 'Bohemian Eclipse,'
 First of the Month, 2000
 goo.gl/aZ605n
- 27 ibid
- Andrew Ross, Nice Work if You Can Get It:
 Life and Labor in Precarious Times (New York:
 New York University Press, 2009)
- 29 Stuart Hall, 'The Neo-Liberal Revolution,' Cultural Studies 25, no. 6 (2011): 705-28
- Niki Chesworth, 'Freelancing an Increasingly
 Attractive Option for UK Workers,'
 Ranconteur, April 26, 2016
 goo.gl/hi0Spz

- Mark Banks, 'Autonomy Guaranteed: Cultural Work and the "Art-Commerce" Relation,' *Journal for Cultural Research* 14, no. 3 (2010), 256
- Luc Boltanski and Eve Chiapello, *The New Spirit of Capitalism*, trans. Gregory Elliott (London: Verso, 2005)
- 33 Sharon Zukin, *Loft Living: Culture and Capital in Urban Change* (Baltimore: Johns
 Hopkins University Press, 1982)

David Ley, 'Artists, Aestheticisation and the Field of Gentrification,' *Urban Studies* 40, no. 12 (2003): 2527-544

Ann Markusen and Anne Gadwa, 'Arts and Culture in Urban or Regional Planning: A Review and Research Agenda,' *Journal of Planning Education and Research* 29, no. 3 (2010): 379-91

Richard Lloyd, 'Neo-Bohemia: Art and Neighborhood Redevelopment in Chicago,' *Journal of Urban Affairs* 24, no. 5 (2002): 517-32

34 George Yúdice, The Expediency of Culture: Uses of Culture in the Global Era (Durham: Duke University Press, 2003)

- Ruth Glass, 'Introduction: Aspects of Change,' in London: Aspects of Change, ed. Centre for Urban Studies (London: MacGibbon and Kee, 1964)
- Loretta Lees, Tom Slater, and Elvin Wyly,

 Gentrification (New York: Routledge, 2008), 159-60;

 Andrew Tallon, Urban Regeneration in the UK

 (London: Routledge, 2010), 201
- Vanessa Matthews, 'Aestheticizing Space: Art, Gentrification, and the City,' *Geography Compass* 4, no. 6 (2010): 622
- Claire Colomb, 'Unpacking New Labour's
 'Urban Renaissance' Agenda: Towards a Socially
 Sustainable Reurbanization of British Cities?',

 Planning, Practice & Research 22, no. 1 (2007):
 1-24; 'Prescott Plans "Urban Renaissance"; BBC,
 November 16, 2000
 goo.gl/M80JGR

Dave O'Brien, Cultural Policy: Management, Value and Modernity in the Creative Industries (London: Routledge, 2014)

Deborah Philips and Garry Whannel, *The Trojan Horse: The Growth of Commercial Sponsorship* (London: Bloomsbury, 2015)

Gordon Macleod and Craig Johnstone,

'Stretching Urban Renaissance: Privatizing
Space, Civilizing Place, Summoning Community,'
International Journal of Urban and Regional
Research 36, no. 1 (2012)
goo.gl/PHnOz2

Loretta Lees, 'Gentrification and Social Mixing: Towards an Inclusive Urban Renaissance?' *Urban Studies* 45. no. 12 (2008): 2449-470

- Elizabeth Burton (2003), 'Housing for an Urban Renaissance: Implications for Social Equity,' *Housing Studies* 18, no. 4 (2005): 537-62
- Isaac Marrero-Guillamón, 'The Politics and Aesthetics of Assembling: (Un)building the Commons in Hackney Wick, London,' in *Urban Cosmopolitics: Agencements, Assemblies, Atmospheres*, ed. Anders Blok and Ignacio Farias (Oxford: Routledge, 2016), 125-46
- 42 Benedict Seymour, 'Shoreditch and the Creative Destruction of the Inner City,' variant, 34 (Spring 2009)
 goo.gl/S4Vi0y
- David Harvey, 'The Art of Rent: Globalisation,
 Monopoly and the Commodification of Culture,'
 Socialist Register 38 (2002): 93-110

- Eric Pfanner, 'The East End Goes Mainstream,'
 New York Times, December 2, 2002
 goo.gl/NcLrJw
- Alvin Toffler, *The Third Wave* (New York: William Morrow, 1980); George Ritzer and Nathan Jurgenson, 'Production, Consumption, Prosumption: The Nature of Capitalism in the Age of the Digital Prosumer,' *Journal of Consumer Culture* 10, no. 1 (2010): 13-36.
- Matt Biddulph, 'How London's Silicon Roundabout Really Got Started,' *Gigaom*, December 11, 2012 goo.gl/8hpWwu
- Cory Doctorow, 'The Slow Death of Silicon Roundabout,' Guardian, March 10, 2014 goo.gl/eTxR4T
 - Steve Ranger, 'First Came the Artists, Then Came the Hackers: The Strange History of London's Own Silicon Valley,' *TechRepublic* goo.gl/Vfv0xx
- Bruce Sterling, 'London's "Silicon Roundabout";

 Wired, October 22, 2009

 goo.gl/w0u27L
- Cory Doctorow, 'The Slow Death of Silicon Roundabout,' *Guardian*, March 10, 2014 goo.gl/UWZqkO

Jo Foord, 'The New Boomtown?', Cities 33 (2012): 51-60; Max Nathan, Emma Vandore, and Georgina Voss, Terraforming Technology City: Place Branding and Spatial Imaginaries in Inner East London (Discussion Paper. University of Birmingham, Birmingham, 2015)

goo.gl/T9wpsF

- **50** Foord, 5
- 51 ibid
- 52 ibid
- British Council, 'What are Creative Industries and Creative Economy' goo.gl/2yspVH
- Andy Pratt, 'Creative Cities: The Cultural Industries and the Creative Class,' *Human Geography* 90 no. 2 (2008): 107-17

Ann Markusen, 'Urban Development and the Politics of a Creative Class: Evidence from a Study of Artists,' *Environment and Planning* 38, no. 10 (2006):1921-940

Jamie Peck, 'Struggling with the Creative Class,' International Journal of Urban and Regional Research 29, no. 4 (2005): 740-70

55 Cameron, 'East End Tech City.'

- Emerson, Ralph Waldo, Essays and English Traits (Cambridge, Mass: Harvard Classics, 1909-14).
- 57 Ronald Reagan, 'The Creative Society,' speech at the University of Southern California, April 19, 1966 goo.gl/Ku7NnM
- Max Nathan, 'The Wrong Stuff: Creative
 Class Theory, Diversity and City Performance,'
 Centre for Cities, Institute for Public Policy
 Research Discussion Paper 1, 2005
 goo.gl/qgesPj

Magnus Linklater, 'I Don't Want to Spoil the Party ...' The Times, November 8, 2006 goo.gl/Gixlcy

Kate Oakley, 'Include Us Out: Economic Development and Social Policy in the Creative Industries,' *Cultural Trends* 15, no. 4 (2006): 255-73

David Bell, 'Fade to Grey: Some Reflections on Policy and Mundanity,' *Environment and Planning A* 39, no. 3 (2007): 541-54

Greater London Authority, 'Artists' Workspace Study,' September 2014 goo.gl/pbV4qy

Ellen Huijgh, 'Diversity United?: Towards a European Cultural Industries Policy,' *Policy Studies* 28, no. 3 (2007): 209-24

Jamie Peck, 'The Creativity Fix,' Fronesis, 24, 2007 goo.gl/4s5fQe

Andrew Ross, 'Nice Work if You Can Get it: The Mercurial Career of Creative Industries Policy,' Work Organisation, Labour & Globalisation 1, no.1 (2006-2007): 1-19

- Sam Shead, 'London Tech Companies are now Taking up More Office Space than Banks and Law Firms,' *Business Insider*, November 16, 2015 goo.gl/KEEAGC
- Sam Shead, 'Old Street's Silicon Roundabout Shows no Sign of Giving Up its Crown as UK Tech Capital,' *Tech World*, September 15, 2015 goo.gl/usyXYQ
- 61 'Tech City'
 goo.gl/Xk909A
- 62 Lucy Tobin, 'How Google and Facebook's New Offices are Redefining the Tech Workplace,' Evening Standard, November 12, 2016 goo.gl/BhWxiw

- Vicky Allan, 'The Shoreditch effect: How Finnieston became the hippest place in Britain,' Sunday Herald, March 27, 2016
 goo.gl/GwH5Is
- Chiara Brambilla, 'Has East London's
 Street Art Scene Been "Shoreditched"?'
 goo.gl/Tj8xXu
- Greater London Authority, 'Artists'
 Workspace Study'
- 66 ibid
- Susan Jones, 'Artists' Low Income and Status are International Issues,' *Guardian*, January 12, 2015 goo.gl/XVIdVV

See Sarah Hanssen, Working Stiff, Aeon, January 30, 2017 goo.gl/rlcpHp

- Kate Oakley, Art Works: Cultural Labour Markets,
 Creativity, Culture and Education Literature Review
 Series (London: Arts Council England, 2009)
 goo.gl/JZz8G3
- 69 Mark Brown, 'Arts and Culture Being
 "Systematically Removed from UK Education
 System", 'Guardian, February 17, 2015
 goo.gl/JqMfkx

- 'Paying Artists Aims to Secure Payment for Artists Who Exhibit in Publicly-Funded Galleries,' Paying Artists goo.gl/qWZgYN
- 71 Felicity Hannah, '80% of Self-Employed People in Britain Live in Poverty,' Independent, June 8, 2016 goo.gl/Txjhxu
- 72 ibid
- Hannah, '80% of Self-Employed People.';
 'New Figures Show the 30 year Rise of
 "Precarious' Work and Housing,"
 Co-Operatives UK, July 17, 2015
 goo.gl/1SJXk6
- 74 Vince Carducci, 'On Art and Gentrification,' mile, 13 (2012)
 goo.gl/0v0119
- 75 Alvin Toffler, *Previews & Premises*, (New York: W. Morrow. 1983)
 - Antonio Negri, goodbye mister socialism, (Paris: Neuil, 2007).
- Kevin Jones, 'Survey Confirms: Market Forces Pushing Artists Out of San Francisco,' KQED, September 16, 2015 goo.gl/d6RjPU

- 'Martin Prosperity Institute' goo.gl/FGIIVp
- 78 Kirsten Forkert, Artistic Lives: A Study of Creativity in Two European Cities (Farnham: Ashgate, 2013), 10.
- 79 Andrew Ross, No-Collar: The Humane Workplace and its Hidden Costs (Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 2014), 121-22.
- Sarah Banet-Weiser, *Authentic TM: The Politics* and *Ambivalence in a Brand Culture* (New York: New York University Press, 2012), 110-11.
- Jenny Lewis, "Hackney, I Lost You": The London Creatives Priced Out of Their Studios', *Guardian*, January 28, 2016 goo.gl/9Hy61P
- 82 Edmund Ingham, 'London's Largest Tech Hub: Here East To Create 7,500 Jobs At Olympic Park,' Forbes, July 21, 2014 goo.gl/BAVeOF
- Planning Authority, 'Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park' goo.gl/2y7Ykm

- Ruth Bloomfield, 'Here East: Stratford's £100-Million Project and New Digital Hub for Start-ups, Students and Big Corporations,' Homes & Property, September 23, 2015 goo.gl/XOJhAx
- Oliver Smith, 'How London's Olympic Legacy is Reshaping the City,' *Memo*, November 23, 2016 goo.gl/65jg3Q
- Jack Schofield, 'Plexal Offers Tech Start-ups a New Home in London's Olympic Park,' zdnet, October 29, 2016 goo.gl/nvtVWy
- 87 Stephen Cornford, 'The Removal of Fridge Mountain,' March 24, 2008 goo.gl/6LqkBj

Fridge mountain next to River Lea, Hackney, East London UK goo.gl/68B14p

88 'dn&co'
 goo.gl/XMdN6x

'Hawkins\brown' goo.gl/83RYw0

89 ibid

Isabelle Fraser, 'Welcome to Fish Island, the new Shoreditch,' *Telegrapher*, October 2, 2016 goo.gl/43nA21

David Spittles, 'Where to Buy a Property in London in 2016: East London's New Post-Olympics Boom Towns,' Homes & Property, January 27, 2016 goo.gl/mu0Ksr

- 91 See London Legacy Development Corporation Local Plan 2015-2017 goo.gl/gujCyn
- 92 London Legacy Development Corporation, 'Fish Island & Hackney Wick South Conservation Area Appraisal,' November 2014 goo.gl/OwW98p
- 93 Fraser, 'Welcome to Fish Island.'
- Maxime Faget, 'London: Hackney Wick, Fish Island and the Shadow of Cool,' *Cafebabel*, March 8, 2016 goo.gl/oywPdI
- 95 See Compulsory Purchase Procedure goo.gl/WzgIE6
- 'Peabody and Haworth Tompkins granted permission for new live/work creative hub in Hackney Wick' Building Construction Design, December 5, 2016 goo.gl/mrEztF

97 Fraser	. 'Welcome to	Fish Island	,
-----------	---------------	-------------	---

- 98 See Town and Country Planning Act 1990, section 106 Planning Obligations goo.gl/PmFnAK
- Oliver Wainwright, 'Revealed: How Developers Exploit Flawed Planning System to Minimise Affordable Housing,' *Guardian*, June 25, 2015 goo.gl/8ZWRJ8
- See Conservation Area within the Legacy Corporation Area goo.gl/nMK4ND
- Anny Shaw 'Campaign Launched to Save Artistic Community in London's Hackney Wick, Home to Chapman Brothers and Gavin Turk,' Art Newspaper, August 15, 2016

 goo.gl/hHJgJr
- 'The Hackney Gentrification Song'
 goo.gl/fTt8Jf
- Hidden London, 'Fish Island, Tower Hamlets' goo.gl/Na1979
- Ilaria Pappalepore, 'Going, going, gone: how Olympic legacy is killing London's creative culture,' The Conversation, August 18, 2016 goo.gl/tZ6rdB

- Jeffery Ross, ed, Routledge Handbook of Graffiti and Street Art (Routledge, 2015)
- Oliver Wainwright, 'Olympic Legacy Murals Met with Outrage by London Street Artists,' Guardian, August 6, 2013 goo.gl/XMurFK
- 'Art Brightens up Hackney Wick,' Peabody, September 30, 2016, goo.gl/zy619I
- Lees et al, Gentrification, 118
- 109 Herein: A Journal of Here East and its Neighborhood 1, no. 6 goo.gl/qpPuOu
- Mark Brown, 'Latest vision revealed for Olympicopolis Arts Quarter in East London,' Guardian, July 27, 2016
 goo.gl/SmXvFD
- Martin Bailey, 'London Mayor Sadiq Khan Ditches Olympicopolis Name, but Presses Ahead with East London Cultural Hub,' Art Newspaper, August 18, 2016
 goo.gl/yMVGXQ
- Sharon Zukin, *The Cultures of Cities* (Cambridge, MA: Blackwell, 1995)

Malcolm Miles, 'Interruptions: Testing the Rhetoric of Culturally Led Urban Development,' *Urban Studies* 42, no. 5-6 (2005): 889-911

Malcolm Miles, *Cities and cultures* (London: Routledge, 2007)

Beatriz Garcia, 'Cultural Policy and Urban Regeneration in Western European Cities: Lessons from Experience, Prospects for the Future,' *Journal* of the Local Economy 19, no. 4 (2004): 312-26

Roberta Comunian and Oliver Mould, 'The Weakest Link: Creative Industries, Flagship Cultural Projects and Regeneration,' *City, Culture and Society* 5, no. 2 (2014): 65-74.

- Penny Bernstock, 'London Olympics Has Brought Regeneration, but at a Price Locals Can't Afford,' *Guardian*, August 30, 2016 goo.gl/bxMV6Z
- Lizzie Rivera, 'House Prices in East London
 Borough of Newham Rise by More than 20 per cent
 in a Year,' Homes & Property, September 13, 2016
 goo.gl/ueqbb0
- 115 Bernstock, 'London Olympics Has Brought Regeneration'
- 116 ibid

Focus E15 Social Housing Not Social Cleansing goo.gl/n2LHyj

Dawn Foster, 'The Displaced Tenants Paying the True Cost of an Inhumane Housing Policy,' *Guardian*, December 2, 2016 goo.gl/MJPvYM

- This quote comes from an interview that we conducted with local artists.
- Elizabeth Hopkirk, 'V&A East "Could be Complicit" in Driving Artists out of Stratford,' bdonline, May 17, 2016
 goo.gl/E9e7Wo
- Hannah Ellis-Petersen, 'Petition Against Privatisation of New V&A Staff Contracts Reaches 40,000,' *Guardian*, February 1, 2016 goo.gl/hKqC40
- 'I'm Worried This Government Will Extinguish the Passion of Museum Staff,' *Guardian*, May 28, 2016 goo.gl/gyzMlQ

Patrick Steel, 'Museum Capitalizing on Zero-Hours Contract,' *Museum Journal* 113, (2013) goo.gl/sYNW0I

- 122 Ellis-Petersen; Tracy Edwards, 'Museums Are Too Vital to be Abandoned to Free-Market Tory Dogma,' *Guardian*, June 14, 2016 goo.gl/rt2cwH
- Seymour, 'Shoreditch and the Creative Destruction.'
- 124 Francesca Perry, 'Right to the City: Can this Growing Social Movement Win Over City Officials?' *Guardian*, April 19, 2016 goo.gl/2Yfb31

'How artists can work against & criticizes generation,' Southwark Notes—Whose Generation? goo.gl/eigzTW

Johannes Novy and Claire Colomb, 'Struggling for the Right to the (Creative) City in Berlin and Hamburg: New Urban Social Movements, New 'Spaces of Hope'?', *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 37, no. 5 (2013): 1816–838 goo.gl/DcdCXL